RAPPORT FRA UNDERAVDELINGEN FOR INTERVJUUNDERSØKELSER

Nr. 34

Attitudes to Norwegian Development Assistance 1974

STATISTISK SENTRALBYRÅ

RAPPORT FRA UNDERAVDELINGEN. FOR INTERVJUUNDERSØKELSER

Nr. 34

ATTITUDES TO NORWEGIAN DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

1974

Statistisk Sentralbyrå
Oslo 1975

PREFACE

This survey on attitudes to Norwegian development assistance was undertaken on assignment from the Norwegian Agency for International Development (NORAD).

The study design has been worked out by Mr. Sigurd Høst. Ms. Berit Otnes has been responsible for carrying out the survey.

Central Bureau of Statistics, Oslo, May 21 1975

Petter Jakob Bjerve

Sverre Hove

CONTENTS

		Page
ı.	Purpose of the survey	7
II.	Previous surveys	7
III.	Survey design	7
	1. Sampling procedure 2. Questionnaire 3. Data collection	8
IV.	Sources of error and uncertainty	8
	1. Sampling error	9
v.	Remarks on some variables	10
VI.	Some results from the study	10
Ind	dex of Tables	15
App	pendix:	
	Ouestionnaire	53

Explanation of Symbols

- Nil
- O Less than half of unit employed
- : Not for publication

I. PURPOSE OF THE SURVEY

This survey on attitudes to Norwegian development assistance mostly follows the design of a corresponding survey, carried out by the Central Bureau of Statistics in February 1972. At that time the purpose of the survey was to give information on

- a) people's attitudes to Norwegian development assistance,
- b) what influences their attitudes,
- c) the amount of knowledge about the Norwegian official development assistance,
- to what extent people have received information on development assistance, through television, radio, newspapers and magazines,
- e) to what extent people have had contact with the information activities carried out on this subject by the Norwegian Agency for International Development itself.

By the 1974 survey one has primarily been interested in studying whether there have occurred changes in any of the points covered in the 1972 survey. In addition, questions concerning support to liberation movements and principles for selecting co-operation countries have been included.

The results from the study were intended to form a basis for the information activities of the Norwegian Agency for International Development.

II. PREVIOUS SURVEYS

In addition to the Bureau's survey from 1972, there has been a number of interview surveys on people's general attitudes to development assistance and on their opinion on more special aspects of this assistance.

A summary of the questions on development assistance that have been posed by the Norwegian Gallup Institute over the period 1953-1965 can be found in Bjørn Alstad (ed.): Norske meninger (Norwegian Opinions), Oslo 1969. For references to other surveys and more comprehensive comments, see Theo Koritzinsky: Velgere, partier og utenrikspolitikk. Analyse av norske holdninger 1945-1970 (Voters, parties and foreign policy. Analysis of Norwegian attitudes 1945-1970), Oslo 1970, and Leif Vetlesen: U-hjelp og folkeopinion (Development assistance and public opinion), Norkontakt nr. 7-8, 1974.

The various surveys have from time to time shown rather different results. As a rule these differences have resulted from the formulation of the questions used in the various surveys. One therefore should be very cautious when comparing results from questions which do not have identical wording.

III. SURVEY DESIGN

1. Sampling procedure

The material for this survey was gathered in connection with the Labour Force Sample Survey in December 1974. The sample of the survey was drawn in two stages. For the sampling at the first stage, the country, excluding Oslo, was divided in 1 501 primary sample areas, which were subsequently grouped in 46 strata according to geographical position and industrial structure. 3 sample areas have been drawn from each of the 6 strata in Bergen and Trondheim, while 6 sample areas have been drawn from each of the remaining 40 strata.

The sample at the second stage has been drawn at random among households located in Oslo and in the 258 sample areas outside Oslo. The drawing has been performed in such a way that all house-holds have had an equal chance of being included in the sample. One fourth of the persons between the ages 16-74 years living in the sampled households were to be interviewed for the survey on attitudes to the development assistance. A total of 2 760 persons were sampled for the survey.

2. Questionnaire

The questionnaire is included as an appendix in this report. The questions constitute four parts:

Questions 1-8 concern attitudes to the Norwegian development assistance and to current problems within the development assistance.

Questions 9-16 are intended to measure people's contact with different sources of information on developing countries and development assistance.

Questions 17-21 concern the result of the information activity, that is, people's know-ledge of certain facts about the Norwegian development assistance.

Questions 22-26 give the background information necessary for groupings of the answers in this survey.

To help the persons interviewed answer questions 4, 15, 18 and 21, answer cards were used in connection with these questions.

3. Data collection

The data collection was carried out in connection with the Labour Force Sample Survey in December 1974. The interviewing period was from December 2 to December 16. The interview on attitudes to development assistance was carried out after the Labour Force interview had been completed.

IV. SOURCES OF ERROR AND UNCERTAINTY

1. Sampling error

One type of error or uncertainty results from the fact that one uses data from a small sample of the population to say something about the whole population. As the sample has been drawn according to the rules for random sampling, it is possible to estimate the expected size of the sample error. As a measure of sample error, standard deviation is usually employed. The Bureau has not made exact calculations of standard deviation for the results in this publication. However, in Table A the approximate size of standard deviations is given for different numbers of observations and estimates. The table shows that standard deviation increases with decreasing number of observations and when the estimate approaches 50 per cent.

Table A. Approximate size of standard deviation. Percentage

er of onden					Percent	ages				
	5 (95)	10 (90)	15 (85)	20 (80)	25 (75)	30 (70)	35 (65)	40 (60)	45 (55)	50 (50)
25	 5.3	7.4	8.8	9.8	10.6	11.2	11.7	12.0	12.2	12.3
50	 3.8	5.2	6.2	6.9	7.5	7.9	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.7
75	 3.1	4.2	5.1	5.7	6.1	6.5	6.8	6.9	7.0	7.1
100	 2.7	3.7	4.4	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.8	6.0	6.1	6.1
150	 2.2	3.0	3.6	4.0	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0
200	 1.9	2.6	3.1	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3
250	 1.7	2.3	2.8	3.1	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.9
300	 1.5	2.1	2.5	2.8	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5
400	 1.3	. 1.8	2.2	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1
600	 1.1	1.5	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5
800	 0.9	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2
000	 0.8	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9
500	 0.7	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.6
000	 0.6	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4

When the standard deviation is known, an interval can be constructed, which with a particular probability will cover the true value of the estimated quantity (the value that one would have obtained if a total census had been performed instead of a sample survey). This interval is called a confidence interval. If the estimated value is M, then the interval with the limits M minus twice the standard deviation and M plus twice the standard deviation, will cover the true value with 95 per cent probability.

The confidence interval of an estimate of 70 per cent is, according to Table A, $70 \pm 2 \times 3.2$ when the number of respondents is 300, that is: the interval with limits 63.6 and 76.4 suggests the magnitude of the 95 per cent confidence interval.

Comparing estimates

When comparing estimates from the studies in 1972 and 1974, one should note that both estimates are uncertain, and that the uncertainty of the difference between them is generally larger than the uncertainty of each estimate. (The Bureau has not calculated standard deviations for these differences.) However, if the estimates and the samples from which they are calculated do not differ too much, the uncertainty of the difference will be about 40 per cent greater than the uncertainty of each estimate. The standard deviation of the difference between the 73 per cent who were in favour of development assistance in this survey and the corresponding 72 per cent in the 1972 survey, is then approximately 1.7 per cent.

2. Non-response

Of the 2 760 persons sampled for the survey, 655 persons or 23.7 per cent were non-respondents. 161 persons (6 per cent) did not want to be interviewed. Most of the remaining non-response was due to the fact that the informant was never successfully contacted.

Table B shows the distribution of persons contacted, non-respondents and respondents by sex, age, type of area and trade region. It appears from this table that the number of non-respondents is particularly high among men and persons between the ages of 20 and 24. Since the differences of opinion on development assistance generally are small between the various population groups, the fact that these groups are slightly underrepresented among the respondents will not affect the results noticeably.

Table B. Persons contacted, non-respondents and respondents by sex, age, type of area and trade region

	Person	s contacted	Non-respondents		Respondents	
	Number	Percentages	Number	Percentages	Number	Percentages
SEX:						
Men	1 350	49	353	54	997	47
Women	1 410	51	302	46	1 108	53
AGE:						
16 - 19 years	195	7	57	9	138	7
20 - 24 "	245	9	87	13	158	7
25 - 44 "	890	32	182	28	708	33
45 - 64 "	1 027	37	212	32	815	39
65 - 74 "	398	15	112	17	286	14
Unknown	5	0	5	1	-	-
TYPE OF AREA:						
Sparsely populated Densely populated, less than	820	30	198	30	622	30
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	1 013	37	221	34	792	37
inhabitants or more	927	33	236	36	691	33
TRADE REGION:						
Eastern trade region	1 587	58	362	55	1 225	58
Western " "	555	20	155	24	400	19
Middle " "	361	13	65	10	296	14
Northern " "	257	9	73	11	184	9
Total	2 760	100	- 655	100	2 105	100

3. Method of observation

The method of observation can also be a source of error and uncertainty. In this survey it is the formulation of the questions that can primarily influence the answers. When interpreting the results one should observe that the basis of each distribution of answers is a specific question posed in a specific interview situation.

V. REMARKS ON SOME VARIABLES

Education. Aggregate time of education has been the main criterion for classification by education. The following division is used in the tables:

Primary school level: General education and possible vocational education totalling up to 9 years.

Secondary school level: General education and possible vocational education totalling 9 1/4 - 12 years.

College and university level: Education totalling more than 12 years.

Type of area. The classification is based on enumeration districts from the population census 1970. Each district is classified as either 'sparsely' or densely populated. Sparsely populated refers to development with more than 50 metres between the houses or development with under 50 metres between the houses but with fewer than 200 persons. Densely populated refers to developments with at least 200 persons.

The sample areas were first classified by whether the majority lived in sparsely or in densely populated districts. Where the majority lived in densely populated districts the sample area was further classified by size of the urban area to which it belonged.

<u>Trade region</u>. The classification in trade region is based on the Standard Classification of Trade Areas (Statistisk Sentralbyrås Håndbøker nr. 13).

Definitions and classifications of the other variables appear from the tables or from the questionnaire.

VI. SOME RESULTS FROM THE STUDY

In reply to the question "Are you in favour of or against Norway giving aid to the developing countries" 73 per cent stated that they were in favour, 19 per cent that they were against and 8 per cent that they did not know (Table 1). When evaluating this result it is important to note that the question does not distinguish between the various types of aid, and that the question contains the favourably biased term "giving aid".

However, even if one allows for this characteristics of the question, there is no reason to doubt that a majority of the population has a favourable attitude to helping the developing countries.

When the same question of attitude to development assistance was posed in the 1972 survey, the distribution of answers were 72 per cent in favour, 19 per cent against and 9 per cent do not know. Therefore, there have been no observable changes in people's general attitude to development assistance in the periode between the two surveys.

Table 1 shows that the support for development assistance varies somewhat according to the age, education, occupation and household income of the person interviewed. Criteria like sex, type of area and trade region seem to be of less importance.

The support for development assistance was greatest among persons with higher education, persons in high income brackets and among students. Persons between the ages 64-74, with lower education and in low income brackets, self-employed, and pensioners were the least apt to support development assistance. However, the differences between the various groups were small.

For 1974 the Norwegian Parliament appropriated 690 million kroner for development assistance. This constituted slightly more than 3 per cent of the national budget that year. Of the persons interviewed 12 per cent thought the amount should have been larger, 46 per cent thought it was about the right amount, 26 per cent thought it should have been smaller, and 9 per cent thought the appropriation should have been totally omitted (Table 2). In 1972 the corresponding percentages were 10, 48, 24 and 11. This means that nor did the attitude to the Parliament's appropriations change noticeably in the period between the two surveys. When considering that the appropriations increased from 460 millions in 1972 to 690 millions in 1974, this stability is somewhat surprising.

As could be expected, the opinion on the amount appropriated varies with the answer to the general question regarding attitude to development assistance. Apart from this the differences between the various population groups are relatively small.

The survey also includes a question on how the persons interviewed evaluated the Parliament's planned increase of the development assistance, when considering that Norway could expect great income from oil extraction in the future. As appears from Table 3, the answers to this question should primarily be considered as a further amplification of the attitudes to the current appropriations for development purposes.

Tables 4-14 show the reactions of the persons interviewed to 9 different assertions regarding development assistance. When evaluating these results it is important to note that many people find it easier to agree than to disagree with assertions presented in this way. The results in tables 4-14 are therefore more suitable to elucidate differences between supporters and opponents to development assistance than to say something about the attitudes people in general holds towards the various themes presented.

Among the supporters of development assistance there were particularly many (95 per cent) agreeing with assertion no. 3 (As long as millions of people in the developing countries are suffering, it is our duty to help them as well as we can), 80 per cent agreed with assertion no. 8 (Even though there are many disappointments and repercussions, the development assistance on the whole does promote progress in the developing countries).

Among the opponents 93 per cent agreed with assertion no. 2 (There are many tasks that have to be solved in our own country before we can start giving aid to other countries), 79 per cent agreed with assertion no. 6 (Development aid teaches people in the developing countries to rely on others instead of themselves), and 68 per cent of the opponents to development assistance agreed with assertion no. 4 (The development aid ends up in the pockets of the rich and does never reach those who really need it). The assertions no. 4 and 9 (Development assistance often is a pretext used by the rich countries to intervene in the economy of the developing countries and get control over their natural resources) have particularly high proportions of "do not know" answers. This may be due to the fact that these questions requires knowledge on the actual facts that the persons interviewed do not possess, or that the problems presented in the assertions are new to the persons interviewed.

All persons that took a stand on development assistance were asked to indicate which of the 9 assertions they would select for explaining their attitude towards development assistance.
43 per cent of the supporters selected assertion no. 3, 12 per cent selected assertion no. 1,
11 per cent selected assertion no. 5 (Our own future depends on all countries' ability to solve the population problems, protect environment and resources and give everybody a decent standard of living. To obtain this, Norway and other rich countries will have to decrease the growth in their own consumption and simultaneously increase their assistance to the developing countries), and 20 per cent of the supporters selected one of the remaining six assertions. Of the opponents 47 per cent selected assertion no. 2, 17 per cent selected assertion no. 4, 9 per cent selected assertion no. 6, and 14 per cent selected one of the remaining six assertions.

Assertions no. 1, 2, 3 and 6 were identical with assertions used in the 1972 survey. There were no noticeable changes in the distribution of answers between 1972 and 1974, neither for the total sample, nor for supporters and opponents taken separately.

Tables 15-17 show the attitudes of the persons interviewed to some criteria for selecting the countries that shall benefit from development assistance. In Table 15, the question is: Should we help where there is the greatest poverty, or should we primarily consider whether the policy of the developing country creates a development from which the whole population will benefit? cent of the persons interviewed thought that we should help where there is the greatest poverty, 12 per cent thought that considering the policy of the developing country was most important, and 19 per cent wanted to consider both. Women, persons over 45 years and persons with lower education most often thought that we should help where there is the greatest poverty, while there were particularly many among persons between the ages 16-19, persons with higher education and among students who thought we should primarily consider the policy of the developing country or that we should consider both. The differences between the answers of supporters and opponents to this question were small: 65 per cent of the supporters and 59 per cent of the opponents thought we should help where there is the greatest poverty, about 30 per cent of both groups thought we should consider the policy of the country or both. The proportion of "do not know" - answers was larger among opponents than among supporters. Table 16 shows how the persons interviewed evaluated catastrophe aid versus long-term assistance. 41 per cent thought that more of the development assistance money should be used for catastrophe aid, 26 per cent thought we should attach most importance to long-term assistance, while 29 per cent thought both to be of equal importance. The differences between the various groups of the population were rather small and point in the same direction as in Table 15.

Table 17 shows what the persons interviewed thought of giving development assistance to liberation movements. 26 per cent thought Norway should give development assistance to liberation movements, 38 per cent thought Norway should not give that kind of assistance, and 21 per cent thought it should depend on the liberation movement in question. As many as 15 per cent of the persons interviewed were not able to take a stand on this question. Students and persons between the ages 16-19 years were mostly in favour of support to liberation movements. Otherwise the differences between the various groups were minor. Among the supporters 30 per cent were in favour and 33 per cent were against support to liberation movements, while 23 per cent thought support should be contingent on the liberation movement in question. Among the opponents to development assistance 14 per cent were in favour of and 60 per cent against support to liberation movements, while 15 per cent thought it depended on the liberation movement in question. The proportions in favour of support to liberation movements were 47 per cent among those who thought the appropriations for development assistance in 1974 should have been larger, 28 per cent among those who thought the appropriations were about the right size, and 17 per cent both among those who thought the amount should have been smaller and among those who thought the appropriations should have been totally omitted.

Tables 18-21 show whether the persons interviewed have seen or read, or otherwise been in 29 per cent have seen one form of information touch with information on development assistance. material. Pamphlets and films are the types of information seen by most, respectively 25 and 14 per cent of the persons interviewed. The share of persons having seen information materials is highest among students, persons in the ages between 16-19 years and among persons with higher education. There are also slightly more who have been in touch with information materials among the supporters than among the opponents, 32 and 23 per cent respectively (Table 18). of persons having seen or read information material on development assistance, have seen or read material from NORAD, 20 per cent have not seen such material, and 51 per cent do not remember whether they have seen NORAD's material or not (Table 19). Members of labour unions or occupational associations were asked whether the union had treated developing countries or development assistance 17 per cent confirmed this, 51 per cent stated that the union had not treated in its meetings. these questions in its meetings, and 26 per cent did not remember or did not answer the question (Table 20). Only 2 per cent of the persons interviewed had attended courses or lectures on development aid and developing countries (Table 21).

The persons were also asked to evaluate to what extent various information channels had brought information influencing their own attitude to development assistance. The results are shown in Tables 22 - 30. Table C below gives a simple presentation of the answers to this question.

Table C. Persons by assessment of the significance of various sources of information on development assistance for their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

Source of information	Have given significant information	Have given insignificant information	Have not given information	Do not know, unknown	Total
n-11-					
Radio	27	50	19	4	100
Television	70	22	6	2	100
Newspapers	53	37	8	2	100
Periodicals, magazines	13	39	44	4	100
Books, pamphlets	13	34	49	4	100
Films	10	20	65	5	100
Personal visit in developing					
countries	4	4	88	4	100
Family, friends, colleagues Political party or association of which you are a	13	27	58	2	100
member	4	10	82	4	100

Television and newspapers reach a great number of people with information regarded by the recipients as significant for their opinion on development assistance. Radio also reaches many people, but the information transmitted through radio seems to make less impression on the recipients. There is a general tendency for people with higher education and supporters of development assistance to be the ones to consider the various information channels as significant for their attitude (Tables 22-30). Two sources of information diverge from this pattern: Own visits to developing countries and information from family, friends and colleagues. Here there are no differences between supporters and opponents.

When asked what they thought about the amount of information given on development assistance and problems of development, 27 per cent thought there was too little information, 50 per cent thought the amount was about right and 12 per cent thought there was too much information. It was primarily the opponents of development assistance who thought there was too much information, while the supporters thought there was too little information (Table 31).

Tables 32-34 contain answer to questions intended to measure people's knowledge of the Norwegian development assistance. 47 per cent knew about the Norwegian fisheries project in Kerala, 24 per cent gave a wrong answer and 29 per cent answered "do not know". Persons in the youngest age groups had less knowledge of the Kerala project than persons over 25 years of age, and people with higher education gave the right answer more often than people with lower education (Table 32). A great many, 72 per cent, gave the right answer, that is "yes", when asked whether Norway gives assistance to family planning projects in developing countries, 4 per cent gave a wrong answer and 24 per cent did not answer.

Finally, the persons interviewed were asked if they thought the Norwegian development assistance was given as gifts only, partly as gifts and partly as loans or as loans only. 60 per cent thought the assistance was given as gifts only, which is the right answer, 30 per cent thought it was given partly as gifts and partly as loans, one per cent thought it was given as loans only, while 8 per cent did not answer this question. The difference between the various groups of the population was very small.

TABLES

INDEX OF TABLES

			rage
		GENERAL ATTITUDE TO NORWEGIAN DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE AND OPINION ON THE MAGNITUDE OF THE ASSISTANCE FOR THE PRESENT AND IN THE FUTURE	
Table	1.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/household income/type of area/trade region, by attitude to Norway's assistance to the developing countries	19
Table	2.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/household income/type of area/trade region/general attitude to development assistance, by opinion on the size of the Parliament's appropriation for development assistance in 1974	20
Table	3.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by evaluation of the planned increase in the national development assistance when considering the future oil income	21
		OPINION ON VARIOUS ASSERTIONS ON DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE	
Table	4.	Persons by opinion on different assertions on development assistance	22
Table	5.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Development assistance is an important element of the efforts to prevent wars and enmity in the world"	23
Table	6.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "There are many tasks that have to be solved in our own country before we can start giving aid to other countries"	24
Table	7.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "As long as millions of people in the developing countries are suffering, it is our duty to help them as well as we can".	25
Table	8.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "The development aid ends up in the pockets of the rich and does never reach those who really need it"	26
Table	9.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Our own future depends on all countries' ability to solve the population problems, protect environment and resources and give everybody a decent standard of living. To obtain this, Norway and other rich countries will have to decrease the growth in their own consumption and simultaneously increase their assistance to the developing countries"	27
Table	10.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Development aid teaches people in the developing countries to rely on others instead of themselves"	28
Table	11.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "A greater share of the appropriations for development assistance should be handed over to missionaries, The Emergency Aid of the Church and similar organizations. They work more efficiently than the Government and feel more dedicated to and responsible for their fellow beings"	29
Table	12.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Even though there are many disappointments and repercussions, the development assistance on the whole does promote	
		progress in the developing countries"	30

		Page
Table 13.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Development assistance often is a pretext used by the rich countries to intervene in the economy of the developing countries and to get control over their natural resources"	31
Table 14.	Persons who have taken a stand on Norwegian development assistance, in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by which assertion they selected as the one most consistent with their own attitude	32
	ATTITUDE TO VARIOUS CRITERIA FOR SELECTING TO WHOM AND WHAT THE DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE SHALL BE GIVEN	
Table 15.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by whether they consider the degree of poverty or the possibilities for development to be the most important when selecting Norway's co-operation countries	33
Table 16.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on whether more of the development assistance should be spent on emergency aid or on long-term development projects	34
Table 17.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by attitude to Norway giving development assistance to liberation movements	35
	SOURCES FOR INFORMATION ON DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE	
Table 18.	Percentage of persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, having seen or read different types of information material on development assistance	36
Table 19.	Persons who have seen or read information material on development assistance, in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by whether they have seen or read information material from NORAD	37
Table 20.	Persons who are members of labour unions or occupational associations, in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by whether the labour union or occupational association has treated development assistance or developing countries in any of its meetings	38
Table 21.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by participation in courses or lectures on development assistance or developing countries	39
Table 22.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through radio on their attitude to development assistance	40
Table 23.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through television on their attitude to development assistance	41,
Table 24.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through newspapers on their attitude to development assistance	42
Table 25.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through periodicals and magazines on their attitude to development assistance	43
Table 26.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through books and pamphlets on their attitude to development assistance	44

		Page
Table 27.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through films on their attitude to development assistance	45
Table 28.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through own visit to developing countries on their attitude to development assistance	46
Table 29.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through family, friends and colleagues on their attitude to development assistance	47
Table 30.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through political party or association of which they are members, on their attitude to development assistance	48
Table 31.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/contact with information material on development assistance, by evaluation of the amount of information given on development assistance and developing countries	49
	KNOWLEDGE OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES AND DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE	
Table 32.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/contact with information material on development assistance, by knowledge of the development project in the Indian constituent state Kerala	50
Table 33.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/contact with information material on development assistance, by knowledge of whether Norway supports family planning projects in developing countries	51
Table 34.	Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/contact with information material on development assistance, by knowledge of whether Norwegian development assistance is given as gifts or as loans	52

Table 1. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/household income/type of area/trade region, by attitude to Norway's assistance to the development countries. Percentages

	Total	In favour of development assistance	Against development assistance	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents		
ALL PERSONS	100	73	19	8	2 105		
Sex							
Men	100	70	23	7	997		
Women	100	74	17	9	1 108		
Age							
16 - 19 years	100	73	13	14	138		
20 - 24 "	100	75	17	8	158		
25 - 44 "	100	76	18	6	708		
45 - 64 "	100	71	22	7	815		
65 - 74 "	100	66	20	14	286		
Education							
Primary school level	100	68	22	10	1 258		
Secondary school level	100	79	16	5	520		
College and university level	100	80	15	5	261		
Unknown	100	70	23	7	66		
Occupation							
Employees in manufacturing and							
construction	100	69	23	8	345		
and fishing	100	72	24	4	51		
Other employees	100	76	19	5	619		
and fishing	100	67	25	8	85		
Other self-employed	100	67	22	11	83		
Students	100	79	16	5	112		
Pensioners	100	67	18	15	257		
Housewives, others at home	100	74	17	9	470		
Other	100	78	13	9	46		
Unknown	100	62	27	11	37		
Household income							
Less than 20 000 kroner	100	68	19	13	388		
20 000 - 29 900 "	100	67	21	12	294		
30 000 - 39 900 "	100	76	19	5	388		
40 000 - 59 900 "	100	75	19	6	620		
60 000 kroner or more	100	77	19	4	380		
Unknown	100	63	23	14	35		
Type of area							
Sparsely populated	100	69	21	10	622		
Densely populated, less than 50 000 inhabitants	100	74	18	8	792		
Densely populated, 50 000 inhabitants							
or more	100	74	19	7	691		
Trade region							
Eastern trade region	100	74	18	8	1 225		
	100	73	20	7	400		
Western trade region				_	001		
Western trade region	100 100	68 71	23 20	9 9	296 184		

Table 2. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/household income/type of area/trade region/general attitude to development assistance, by opinion on the size of the Parliament's appropriation for development assistance in 1974. Percentages

	Total	Should have been larger	About the right amount	Should have been smaller	Should have been totally omitted	Do not know, no opinion	Number of respondents
						_	
ALL PERSONS	100	12	46	26	9	7	2 105
Sex							
Men	100 100	14 12	44 48	26 25	11 7	5 8	997 1 108
Age							
16 - 19 years	100	27	39	18	8	8	138
20 - 24 "	100	17	50	19	8	6	158
25 - 44 "	100	12	48	28	7	5	708
45 - 64 "	100	11	46	24	12	7	815
65 - 74 "	100	9	43	30	6	12	286
Education							
Primary school level	100	10	46	26	10	8	1 258
Secondary school level	100	13	48	27	7	5	520
College and university level	100	23	43	23	6	5	261
Unknown	100	12	46	26	9	7	66
Occupation							
Employees in manufacturing							
and construction	100	11	47	25	13	4	345
Employees in agriculture,							
forestry and fishing	100	12	45	20	19	4	51 610
Other employees Self-employed in agriculture,	100	15	48	25	8	4	619
forestry and fishing	100	11	39	28	13	9	85
Other self-employed	100	11	44	29	8	8	83
Students	100	35	37	15	6	7	112
Pensioners	100	11	40	31	6	12	257
Housewives, others at home	100	7	51	27	7	8	470
Other	100	18	37	17	11	17	46
Unknown	100	11	46	21	19	3	37
Household income							
Less than 20 000 kroner	100	12	47	21	9	11	388
20 000 - 29 900 "	100	11	43	29	9	8	294
30 000 - 39 900 "	100	10	49	28	8	5	388
40 000 - 59 900 "	100	12	47	25	10	6	620
60 000 kroner or more	100	18	45	26	7	4 -	380
Unknown	100	17	29	26	11	17	35
Type of area							
Sparsely populated	100	´ 11	.44	25	11	9	622
Densely populated, less than 50 000 inhabitants	100	14	49	23	7	7	792
Densely populated, 50 000							
inhabitants or more	100	12	45	30	9	4	691
Trade region							
Eastern trade region	100	13	47	26	8	6	1 225
Western trade region	100	12	49	23	8	8	400
Middle trade region Northern trade region	100 100	11 13	44 36	29 29	10 12	6 10	296 184
.		, - -		-			
development assistance							
In favour of development							
assistance	100	17	57	20	0	6	1 528
Against development assistance	100	0	11	44	43	2	408
Do not know	100	2	30	37	4	27	169

Table 3. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by evaluation of the planned increase in the national development assistance when considering the future oil income. Percentages

	Total	Should give more assistance than planmed for	Should follow original plans for increase in assistance	Should keep assistance on current level	Should decrease or omit the assistance	Do not know, no opinion	Number of respondents
ATT DEDGOVO	100	14	24	36	20	6	2 105
ALL PERSONS	100	14	24	30	. 20	0	2 103
<u>Sex</u>							
Men	100 100	15 13	24 24	36 36	21 19	4 8	997 1 108
Age							
16 - 19 years	100	25	28	24	17	6	138
20 - 24 "	100	16	31	35	12	6	158
25 - 44 "	100 100	13 14	27 22	37 35	18 23	5 6	708 815
45 - 64 " 65 - 74 "	100	10	18	39	22	11	286
Education							
Primary school level	100	12	21	37	23	7	1 258
Secondary school level		16	27	36	16	5	520
College and university level	100	19	35	28	14	4	261
Unknown	100	10	23	35	23	9	66
Occupation							
Employees in manufacturing	100	10	00	20	24	2	245
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	13	22	38	24	3	345
forestry and fishing		12	26	27	29	6	51
Other employees	100	15	30	32	18	5	619
Selv-employed in agriculture, forestry and fishing	100	8	21	40	24	7	85
Other self-employed	100	17	28	29	20	6	83
Students	100	29	28	27	12	4	112
Pensioners	100	13	17	39	21	10	257
Housewives, others at home Other	100	11	22 28	41 31	18 17	8 11	470 46
Unknown	100 100	13 11	26 16	30 30	32	11	37
Type of area				- -			
Sparsely populated	100	11	20	39	23	7	622
Densely populated, less than	100	••		3,		-	-,
50 000 inhabitants	100	16	25	35	17	.7	792
Densely populated, 50 000 inhabitants or more	100	14	27	33	21	5	691
General attitude to development assistance							
In favour of development							
assistance	100	19	32	39	5	5 -	1 528
Against development assistance		1	2	21	73	3	408
Do not know	100	2	8	43	21	26	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974							
Should have been larger	100	56	41	1	0	2	264
About the right amount	100	12	37	46	1	4	971
Should have been smaller	100	2	7	47	40	4	543
Should have been totally	100	_	^	^	100	^	107
omitted Do not know, no opinion	100 100	0 9	0 9	0 30	100 1	0 51	187 140
,	100	. ,	7	50	•		

Table 4. Persons by opinion on different assertions on development assistance. Percentages

		Total	Mostly		Mostly	Do not	Persons who mo	
			agree	agree nor dis- agree	dis- agree	know, unknown	Persons who are in favour of development assistance	are against development assistance
1.	Development assistance is an important element of the efforts to prevent wars and enmity in the world	100	57	15	, 21	7	67	22
2.	There are many tasks that have to be solved in our own country before we can start giving aid to other countries	100	59	17	22	2	48	93
3.	As long as millions of people in the developing countries are suffering, it is our duty to help them as well as we can	100	82	10	6	2	95	43
4.	The development aid ends up in the pockets of the rich and does never reach those who really needs it	100	38	25	22	15	29	68
5.	Our own future depends on all countries being able to solve the population problems, protect environment and resources and give everybody a decent standard of living. To obtain this, Norway and other rich countries will have to decrease the growth in their own consumption and simultaneously increase their assistanve to the developing countries		49	20	22	9	60	19
6.	Development aid teaches people in the developing countries to rely on others instead of themselves	100	44	19	28	9	34	.79
7.	A greater share of the appropriations for development assistance should be handed over to missionaries, The Emergency Aid of the Church and similar organizations. They work more efficiently than the Government and feel more dedicated to and responsible for their fellow beings	100	62	12	19	7	64	60
8.	Even though there are many disappointments and repercussions, the development assistance on the whole does promote progress in the developing countries	100	68	13	9	10	80	34
9.	Development assistance often is a pretext used by the rich countries to intervene in the economy of the developing	s						
	countries and to get control over their natural resources		31	17	35	17	27	49

Table 5. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Development assistance is an important element of the efforts to prevent wars and enmity in the world". Percentages

	Total	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly dis- agree	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	57	15	21	7	2 105
_					•	2 200
Sex						
Men	100 100	57 56	13 17	25 18	5 9	997 1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	54	14	25	7	138
20 - 24 "		60	13	22	5	158
25 - 44 "		56	15	23	6	708
45 - 64 "		55	16	21	8	815
65 - 74 "	100	59	15	17	9	286
Education						
Primary school level		54	16	20	10	1 258
Secondary school level		59	14	23	4	520
College and university level		64	16	18	2	261
Unknown	100	47	11	33	9	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing	100	50	10	0.0	•	0/5
and construction	100	59	12	26	3	345
Employees in agriculture, forestry and fishing	100	59	14	17	10	51
Other employees		58	16	21	5	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	30	10		3	017
forestry and fishing	100	53	16	13	18	85
Other self-employed	100	61	16	18	5	83
Students	100	54	12	29	5	112
Pensioners		57	16	18	9	257
Housewives, others at home		55	17	18	10	470
Other		54	17	18	11	46
Unknown	100	35	14	40	11	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	51	17	22	10	622
Densely populated, less than		44			_	700
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	60	13	20	7	. 792
inhabitants or more	100	57	16	22	5	691
General attitude to			•			
development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance		67	14	14	5	1 528
Against development assistance		22	18	51	9	408
Do not know	100	41	19	17	23	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	100	81	7	9	3	264
About the right amount	100	68	14	13	5	971
Should have been smaller		40	22	30	8	543
Should have been totally					_	
omitted		14	14	64	8	187 140
muni mu upamaum iiiiii	100	48	17	9	26	140

Table 6. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "There are many tasks that have to be solved in our own country before we can start giving aid to other countries". Percentages

	Total	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly dis- agree	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	59	. 17	22	2	2 105
Sex						-
	100			0.5	•	007
Men		57 61	16 17	25 20	2 2	997 1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	52	17	26	5	138
20 - 24 "		63	12	23	2	158
25 - 44 "		58	17	24	1	708
45 - 64 "		59	18	21	2	815
65 - 74 "		64	14	18	4	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	65	16	16	3	1 258
Secondary school level		55	19	24	2	520
College and university level.	100	39	17	43	1	261
Unknown	. 100	56	11	32	1	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction	. 100	62	16	19	3	345
Employees in agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	100	63	16	19	2	51
Other employees	. 100	55	18	26	1	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,				•		
forestry and fishing	100	66	12	20	• 2	85
Other self-employed	100	61	16	22	1	83
Students		46	14	36	4	112
Pensioners		61	17	18	4	257
Housewives, others at home		64	17	17	2	470
Other		37	22	37	.4	46
Unknown	• 100	54	13	30	3	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	• 100	63	15	18	4	622
Densely populated, less than						
50 000 inhabitants	• 100	57	18	23	2	792
Densely populated, 50 000	100		16	27	•	601
inhabitants or more	• 100	58	16	24	2 .	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	. 100	´ 48	21	29	2	1 528
Against development assistance		93	3	3	1	408
Do not know		79	11	2	8	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	. 100	11	10	70	1	261
About the right amount	- 100	11	18	70 22	1	264 971
Should have been smaller	• 100	52 85	23 8	23 6	2 1	971 543
Should have been totally	. 100	63	ð	O	1	343
omitted	• 100	94	4	2	0	187
Do not know, no opinion	• 100	53	21	15	11	140
-		33		 -		

Table 7. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "As long as millions of people in the developing countries are suffering, it is our duty to help them as well as we can". Percentages

	Total	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor	Mostly dis-	Do not know,	Number of respondents
			disagree	agree	unknown	
ALL PERSONS	100	82	10	6	2	2 105
Sex						•
Men	100	81	11	6	2	997
Women		83	9	5	3	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	79	12	6	3	138
20 - 24 "		82	13	3	2	158
25 - 44 "	100	84	8	6	2	708
45 - 64 "		82	11	5	2	815
65 - 74 "	100	81	10	5	4	286
Education						
Primary school level		79	12	6	3	1 258
Secondary school level		85	8	5	2	520
College and university level		88	7	3	2	261
Unknown	. 100	83	12	3	2	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction	100	80	12	7	1	345
Employees in agriculture,					_	
forestry and fishing		82	12	- 4	2	51
Other employees	100	86	8	5	1	619
Selv-employed in agriculture, forestry and fishing	100	77	13	8	2	85
Other self-employed		84	9	5	2	83
Students		79	14	5	2	112
Pensioners		80	12	4	4	257
Housewives, others at home		82	9	6	3	470
Other		78	13	2	7	46
Unknown	100	76	16	3	5	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	77	12	7	4	622
Densely populated, less than		• •		·	•	
50 000 inhabitants	100	84	10	5	1	792
Densely populated, 50 000						
inhabitants or more	100	84	9	5	2	691
General attitude to					•	
development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	´ 95	4	1	0	1 528
Against development assistance		43	29	23	5	408
Do not know	100	66	19	2	13	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	100	0.0	2	0	0	264
About the right amount	100	98	2	0	0 1	264 971
Should have been smaller	100	93 73	5 18	1 6	3	543
Should have been totally		/3	10	U	J	243
omitted	100	33	27	35	5	187
Do not know, no opinion	100	76	10	1	13	140

Table 8. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "The development aid ends up in the pockets of the rich and does never reach those who really need it". Percentages

Т	otal	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly dis- agree	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	38	25	22	15	2 105
Sex						
	100	40	24	22	14 16	997
Women	100	35	26	23	16	1 108
Age						
	100	36	27	24	13	138
	100	35	29	24	12	158 708
25 - 44	100	41	25	21	13 17	815
45 - 64	100	37 24	23	23	17	286
65 - 74 "	100	34	26	21	19	200
Education						
	100	38	23	22	17	1 258
•	100	38	25	24	13	520
	100	33	33	22	12	261
Unknown	100	44	20	18	18	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing			2.2			
and construction	100	47	20	22	.11	345
Employees in agriculture,			•	•	10	
forestry and fishing		29	24	29	18	51
	100	37	26	23	14	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	20	21	21	20	85
	100 100	- 38 25	21 22	21 30	23	83
	100	28	35	25	12	112
	100	35	28	20	17	257
	100	37	25	21	17	470
	100	37	31	15	17	46
4	100	46	19	19	16	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	37	24	21	18	622
Densely populated, less than	100	37			10	
50 000 inhabitants	100	38	23	25	14	792
Densely populated, 50 000						•
inhabitants or more	100	38	27	21	14	691
General attitude to			•			
development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance		29	28	28	15	1 528
Against development assistance		69	16	6	9	408
Do not know	100	44	21	6	29	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	100	16	29	41	14	264
About the right amount	100	30	28	26	16	971
Should have been smaller	100	52	24	12	12	543
Should have been totally					- ,	
omitted	100	72	15	6	7	187
Do not know, no opinion	100	30	15	18	37	140

Table 9. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Our own future depends on all countries' ability to solve the population problems, protect environment and resources and give everybody a decent standard of living. To obtain this, Norway and other rich countries will have to decrease the growth in their own consumption and simultaneously increase their assistance to the developing countries".

Percentages

	Total	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly dis- agree	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	. 100	49	20	22	9	2 105
_	. 100	17	20			2 103
Sex						
Men		49 49	21 20	24 20	6 11	997 1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	. 100	59	15	13	13	138
20 - 24 "		54	11	25	10	158
25 - 44 "	. 100	51	18	24	7	708
45 - 64 "	. 100	48	23	22	7	815
65 - 74 "	. 100	40	25	20	15	286
Education						
Primary school level		45	22	22	11	1 258
Secondary school level		52 50	18	24	6	520
Unknown		59 49	18 20	19 22	4 9	261 66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing	7					
and construction	•	49	20	24	7	345
Employees in agriculture,		.,,			·	3.3
forestry and fishing	• 100	43	27	22	8	51
Other employees	. 100	55	17	23	5	619
Selv-employed in agriculture	•					
forestry and fishing		41	27	20	12	85
Other self-employed		41	24	30	5	83
Students		64	12	17	7	112
Pensioners		41	24	19	16	257
Housewives, others at home		45	22	22	11	470
Other		50	15	22 22	13 14	46
	• 100	51	13	22	14	37
Type of area	100		0.0	0.0	.,	. (20
Sparsely populated Densely populated, less than	• 100	45	22	22	11	622
50 000 inhabitants	. 100	50	20	20	10	792
Densely populated, 50 000	• 100	30	20	20	10	192
inhabitants or more	• 100	52	19	24	5	691
General attitude to						
development assistance						
In favour of development			.=	• •	-	1 500
Assistance		60	17	16	7	1 528
Against development assistand Do not know		19 24	26 29	47 17	8 30	408 169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	. 100	07	7	3	3	264
About the right amount		87 57	7 19	3 17	3 7	264 971
Should have been smaller		37 32	26	34	8	543
Should have been totally	100	32	20	5 4	J	545
omitted	100	17	24	49	10	187
Do not know, no opinion	100	32	24	13	31	140

Table 10. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Development aid teaches people in the developing countries to rely on others instead of themselves". Percentages

	Total	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly dis- agree	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	. 100	44	19	28	9	2 105
Sex						•
	. 100	46	18	29	7	997
Men		42	20	27	11	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	. 100	36	22	36	6	138
20 - 24 "		40	21	31	8	158
25 - 44 "		45	17	31	7	708
45 - 64 "		45	19	26	10	815
65 - 74 "		43	21	23	13	286
Education						
Primary school level	. 100	45	20	24	11	1 258
Secondary school level		45	17	32	6	520
College and university level.	. 100	35	17	43	5	261
Unknown	. 100	50	17	23	10	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction	. 100	51	16	27	6	345
Employees agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	• 100	45	18	29	8	51
Other employees	• 100	43	18	33	6	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,				•		
forestry and fishing		46	20	21	13	85
Other self-employed		45	12	30	13	83
Students		31	22	42	5	112
Pensioners		40	23	26	11	257
Housewives, others at home Other		46	19	22	13	470
Unknown		37 43	22	26 33	15 8	46 37
_	• 100	43	16	33	0	37
Type of area	100		••	0.4		600
Sparsely populated	• 100	45	20	24	11	622
Densely populated, less than 50 000 inhabitants	100		0.1	07	0	792
Densely populated, 50 000	• 100	43	21	27	9	192
inhabitants or more	• 100	44	15	33	8	691
General attitude to					•	
development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	• 100	´ 34	22	35	9	1 528
Against development assistance		79	7	9	5	408
Do not know		49	21	9	21	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	. 100	16	24	E 2	7	26%
About the right amount		16	24	53 24	7	264
Should have been smaller	• 100	35 66	23	34 15	8	971 543
Should have been totally		66	13	15	6	543
omitted		78	6	11	5	187
Do not know, no opinion	• 100	32	21	16	31	140

Table 11. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "A greater share of the appropriations for development assistance should be handed over to missionaries, The Emergency Aid of the Church and similar organizations. They work more efficiently than the Government and feel more dedicated to and responsible for their fellow beings". Percentages

	Total	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly dis- agree	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	62	12	19	7	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	62	11	21	6	997
Women	100	63	12	18	7	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	59	8	26	7	138
20 - 24 "	100	59	13	20	8	158
25 - 44 "	100	60	13	23	4	708
45 - 64 "	100	64	12	17	7	815
65 - 74 "	100	68	10	12	10	286
Education						
Primary school level		64	12	15	9	1 258
Secondary school level		61	11	24	4	520
College and university level		57 57	13	27	3	261
Unknown	100	57	8	26	9	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing					_	0.45
and construction	100	61	11	21	7	345
Employees in agriculture, forestry and fishing	100	62	8	18	12	51
Other employees		63	11	23	3	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	05	11	23	J	017
forestry and fishing	100	61	15	17	7	85
Other self-employed		56	7	31	6	83
Students	100	57	9	28	6	112
Pensioners	100	66	13	11	10	257
Housewives, others at home		63	14	15	8	470
Other		63	6	20	11	46
Unknown	100	60	8	16	16	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	64	12	15	9	622
Densely populated, less than					_	700
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	62	12	19	7	792
inhabitants or more	100	61	11	24	4	691
General attitude to						
development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	63	11	20	6	1 528
Against development assistance		60	13	20	7	408
Do not know	100	58	14	12	16	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	100	53	13	28	6	264
About the right amount	100	66	11	19	4	971
Should have been smaller	100	68	11	15	6	543
Should have been totally						
omitted	100	53	16	23	8	187
Do not know, no opinion	100	48	13	13	26	140

Table 12. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion: "Even though there are many disappointments and repercussions, the development assistance on the whole does promote progress in the developing countries".

Percentages

	Total	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly dis- agree	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
						•
ALL PERSONS	100	68	13	9	10	2 105
Sex						
Men		69	13	10	8	997
Women	100	67	13	8	12	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	73	13	6	8	138
20 - 24 "		75 70	11	9	5	158
25 - 44		70	13	10	7	708
45 - 64 "		66 63	14 12	9 8	11 17	815 286
03 - 74	100	03	12	0	17	200
Education						
Primary school level		65 75	13	10	12	1 258
Secondary school level		75 71	11	7	7	520 261
College and university level Unknown		71 61	16 12	8 15	5 12	261 66
Ulikhowii	100	01	12	,13	12	00
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturin			10	10	7	2/5
and construction Employees in agriculture,		68	13	12	7	345
forestry and fishing		74	12	6	8	51
Other employees		74	12	8	6	619
Selv-employed in agriculture		, ,		ŭ	· ·	, •
forestry and fishing		62	12	7	19	85
Other self-employed	100	70	17	4	9	. 83
Students		73	16	6	5	112
Pensioners		61	15	8	16	257
Housewives, others at home		65	14	10	11	470
Other		65	9	11	15	46
Unknown	100	, 51	16	14	19	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated		67	11	9	13	622
Densely populated, less than					_	. =00
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	••• 100	68	14	10	8	¹ 792
inhabitants or more	••• 100	69	14	8	9	691
General attitude to						
development assistance						
In favour of dévelopment			_		_	
assistance	100	80	9	4	7	1 528
Do not know		34	25	28	13	408
DO HOU KINGW	••• 100	41	18	8	33	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistant in 1974						
Should have been larger	••• 100	89	6	2	3	264
About the right amount	••• 100	81	9	4	6	971
Should have been smaller	100	54	21	13	12	543
Should have been totally						- ·-
omitted	••• 100	28	20	36	16	187
Do not know, no opinion					31	140

Table 13. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on the assertion? "Development assistance often is a pretext used by the rich countries to intervene in the economy of the developing countries and to get control over their natural resources". Percentages

	Total	Mostly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Mostly dis- agree	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	31	17	35	17	2 105
ALL FERSONS	100	31	17	33	17	2 103
Sex						
Men	100 100	34 28	17 18	37 33	12 21	997 1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	40	20	26	14	138
20 - 24 "	100	33	18	36	13	158
25 - 44 "	100	31	17	37	15	708
45 - 64 "		30	18	35 31	17	815
65 - 74 "	100	30	14	31	25	286
Education						
Primary school level		32	18	30	20	1 258
Secondary school level		29 26	18 17	39 50	14	520
College and university level Unknown		26 37	9	50 30	7 24	261 66
	100	31	,	,50	24	00
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing	100	20	10	26	12	245
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	39	12	36	13	345
forestry and fishing	100	29	22	27	22	51
Other employees		30	17	40	13	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,						•
forestry and fishing		21	19	42	18	85
Other self-employed Students		23	17	38	22	83
Pensioners		41 28	25 19	23 33	11 20	112 257
Housewives, others at home		29	19	30	22	470
Other		22	24	32	22	46
Unknown	100	38	11	32	19	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	30	16	34	20	622
Densely populated, less than		•				
50 000 inhabitants	100	32	17	32	19	· 792
Densely populated, 50 000						
inhabitants or more	100	30	19	39	12	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	27	19	39	15	1 528
Against development assistance		49	13	24	14	408
Do not know	100	26	14	23	37	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	100	26	24	38	12	264
About the right amount		27	18	40	15	971
Should have been smaller		37	14	32	17	543
Should have been totally				_	J -	
omitted		53	13	21	13	187
Do not anow, no opinion	TOO	17	17	22	44	140

Table 14. Persons who have taken a stand on Norwegian development assistance, in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by which assertion they selected as the one most consistent with their own attitude. Percentages

ALL PERSONS	Total	1									know,	
ALL PERSONS			2	3	4	5	6	7	88	9	unknown	respondents
ALL PERSONS												
	100	10	12	34	4	9	3	8	5	1	14	1 936
Sex												
Men	100	11	12	30	6	9	3	9	5	2	13	929
Women	100	9	11	38	3	9	3	7	4	1	15	1 007
Age												
16 - 19 years	100	6	9	36	5	14	4	3	9	3	11	119
20 - 24 "	100	9	10	38	5	14	2	2	6	2	12	145
25 - 44 "	100	12	10	34	4	11	2	8	4	1	14	666
45 - 64 "	100	11	14	34	4	8	3	8	3	1	14	759
65 - 74 "	100	8	14	33	4	4	3	12	5	1	16	247
Education												
Primary school level	100	10	14	33	4	7	4	9	3	1	15	1 135
Secondary school level		11	8	39	5	10	2	6	7.	1	11	492
College and university level	100	10	8	31	4	16	2	7	5	2	15	248
Unknown	100	13	15	31	5	6	0	5	3	2	20	61
Occupation												•
Employees in manufacturing												
and construction	100	13	11	33	9	7	2	6	5	2	12	318
Employees in agriculture,												
forestry and fishing	100	12	14	23	4	2	10	10	4	2	19	49
Other employees	100	11	11	35	3	11	2	7	4	2	14	590
Selv-employed in agriculture,												
forestry and fishing	100	10	11	31	5	3	6	16	1	1	16	78
Other self-employed	100	12	12	36	8	10	4	10	1	0	7	74
Students	100	6	12	31	4	18	4	2	9	4	10	107
Pensioners	100	9	13	30	4	5	4	12	5	1	17	219
Housewives, others at home	100	9	12	39	3	9	3	7	4	1	13	426
Other	100	7	10	24	0	9	7	12	7	0	24	42
Unknown	100	3	15	43	3	6	3	6	0	3	18	33
Type of area												
Sparsely populated	100	9	13	32	4	7	4	11	4	2	14	562
Densely populated, less than		-										
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	11	11	36	5	9	3	8	4	1	12	729
inhabitants or more	100	10	12	34	4	11	2	6	5	1	15	645
General attitude to											•	
development assistance												
In favour of development												
assistance	100	12·	3	43	1	11	1	9	6	0	14	1 528
Against development assistance	100	2	47	1	17	1	9	5	0	5	13	408
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974												
Should have been larger	100	12	1	39	1	21	0	8	5	0	13	261
About the right amount	100	13	4	44	2	9	1	8	5	1	13	920
Should have been smaller		7	24	24	7	4	6	8	4	2	14	480
Should have been totally	100	,	44	44	'	4	U	J	7	۷	7-4	400
omitted	100	2 -	41	2	16	3	12	5	1	6	12	181
Do not know, no opinion		10	4	36	2	5	0	7	5	1	30	94

Table 15. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by whether they consider the degree of poverty or the possibilities for development to be the most important when selecting Norway's co-operation countries. Percentages

	Total	is the greatest	policy of	Consider both	know,	Number of respondents
		poverty	the country		no opinion	
ALL PERSONS	100	64	12	19	5	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	59	16	20	5	997
Women	100	68	9	18	5	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	51	13	32	4	138
20 - 24 "	100	65	14	18	3	158
25 - 44 "	100	61	15	21	3	708
45 - 64 "	100	67	11	17	5	815
65 - 74 "	100	67	9	14	10	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	67	10	17	6	1 258
Secondary school level	100	61	15	21	3	520
College and university level	100	51	18	29	2	261
Unknown	100	62	12	20	6	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	59	19	19	3	345
forestry and fishing	100	58	12	18	12	51
Other employees Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	64	13	20	3	619
forestry and fishing	100	70	7	14	9	85
Other self-employed	100	63	11	20	6	83
Students	100	45	15	20 37	3	112
Pensioners	100	66	10	15	9	257
Housewives, others at home	100	70	8	17	5	470
Other	100	76 59	15	20	6	46
Unknown	100	70	3	19	8	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	66	10	18	6	622
Densely populated, less than 50 000 inhabitants					_	700
Densely populated, 50 000	100	65	10	20	5	792
inhabitants or more	100	60	17	19	4	691
General attitude to					•	
development assistance In favour of development						
assistance					•	1 500
Against development assistance	100	65	11	21	3	1 528
Do not know	100 100	59 67	16 8	15 10	10 15	408 169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974	-		-			
Should have been larger	100	61	14	23	2	264
About the right amount	100	66	11	20	3	971
Should have been smaller	100	66	11	18	5	543
Should have been totally	-00	•			-	3.5
omitted	100	51	22	14	13	187
		60	9	14	17	140

Table 16. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by opinion on whether more of the development assistance should be spent on emergency aid or on long-term development projects. Percentages

cerm development pro	Jecus.	rercentages				
	Total	More of the financial assistance to those who are struck with famine and nature catastrophes	Norway should attach more importance to long-term development projects	Both are of equal importance	Do not know, no opinion	Number of respondents
ALL DEDCONG	100	41	26	29	4	2 105
ALL PERSONS	100	41	20	27	•	2 103
Sex						
Men	100 100	38 44	32 21	28 30	2 5	997 1 108
Women	100	44	21	30	3	1 106
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	33	35	27	· 5	138
20 - 24 "	100	37	32	26	5	158
25 - 44 "	100	39	27	32	2	708
45 - 64 "	100	43 ·	25 20	29 25	3	815 286
65 - 74 "	100	47	20	25	8	200
Education						
Primary school level	100	45	23	28	4	1 258
Secondary school level	100	37	30	31	2	520
College and university level	100	29	37	33	1	261
Unknown	100	36	24	32	8	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing	•					
and construction	100	37	32	28	3	345
Employees in agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	100	28	29	43	0	51
Other employees	100	36	31	31	2	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	53	20	25	2	85
forestry and fishing Other self-employed	100	39	25 25	31	5	83
Students	100	31	40	25	4	112
Pensioners	100	47	19	27	7	257
Housewives, others at home	100	49	18	29	4	470
Other	100	37	30	26	7	46
Unknown	100	49	27	21	3	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	42	. 26	29.	3	622
Densely populated, less than 50 000 inhabitants	100	44	22	30	4	792
Densely populated, 50 000	100			00	•	601
inhabitants or more	100	37	32	28	3	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In fayour of development						
assistance	100	40	26	33	1	1 528
Against development assistance	100	46	31	17	6	408
Do not know	100	42	16	25	17	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	100	30	25	44	1	264
About the right amount	100	41	27	31	ī	971
Should have been smaller Should have been totally	100	47	25	24	4	543
omitted	100	41	34	16	9	187
Do not know, no opinion	100	40	16	26	18	140

Table 17. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, by attitude to Norway giving development assistance to liberation movements. Percentages

	Total	Norway should give development assistance to liberation movements	Norway should not give development assistance to liberation movements	It depends on the liberation movement in question	Do not know, no opinion	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	26	38	21	15	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	27	39	24	10	997
Women	100	24	36	18	22	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	41	31	17	11	138
20 - 24 "	100	32	37	19	12	158
25 - 44 "	100	26	40	22	12	708
45 - 64 "	100	24	38	22	16	815
65 - 74 "	100	20	32	20	28	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	24	36	20	20	1 258
Secondary school level	100	29	39	23	9	520
College and university level	100	30	40	21	9	261
Unknown	100	24	44	20	12	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	24	39	26	11	345
forestry and fishing	100 -	23	43	18	16	51
Other employees	100	30	43 39	19	12	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	30	37	19	12	019
forestry and fishing	100	19	37	29	15	85
Other self-employed	100	17	41	26	16	83
Students	100	44	31	20	5	112
Pensioners	100	23	30	20	27	257
Housewives, others at home	100	21	39	20	20	470
Other	100	30	33	26	11	46
Unknown	100	30	51	11	8	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	21	36	23	20	622
Densely populated, less than 50 000 inhabitants	100	0.6		20	15	,
Densely populated, 50 000	100	26	39 •	20	15	792
inhabitants or more	100	29	37	21	13	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	30	33	23	14	1 528
Against development assistance	100	14	60	15	11	408
Do not know	100	12	28	16	44	169
Evaluation of the size of the public development assistance in 1974						
Should have been larger	100	47	19	25	9.	264
About the right amount	100	28	35	23	14	971
Should have been smaller Should have been totally	100	17	48	20	15	543
omitted	100	17	58	13	12	187
Do not know, no opinion	100	11	21	18	50	140
•	100	11				

Table 18. Percentage of persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/evaluation of the size of public development assistance in 1974, having seen or read different types of information material on development assistance. Percentages

	Have seen or read material on development assistance				Have not seen or read	Do not remember,	Number of			
	In the maga- zine Nor- kontakt	Books	Pam- phlets	Films	Film- strip	Exhibi- tions	material on development assistance	unknown	respon- dents	
ALL PERSONS	5	6	25	14	4	4	64	7	2 105	
Sex										
Men	6	8	27	16	5	4	61	7	997	
Women	5	4	22	12	4	3	67	7	1 108	
Age										
16 - 19 years	3	7	43	33	13	6	37	9	138	
20 - 24 "	9	6	34	19	8	6	58	5	158	
25 - 44	6	6	25	14	4	4	64	6	708	
42 - 04	5	6	23	12 9	3	3 2	66 ∙75	7 7	815 286	
65 - 74 "	3	3	14	9	1	2	.75	,	200	
Education						_		_		
Primary school level	3	4	19	11	2	2	70	7	1 258	
Secondary school level	5	5	29	17	5	4	60	6	520	
College and university level	16	18	43	26	10	11	45	5	261	
Unknown	· 8	8	21	11	12	9	61	12	.66	
Occupation										
Employees in manufacturing										
and construction	6	5	22	10	3	^ 3	68	6	345	
Employees in agriculture,	_	_						•		
forestry and fishing	6	2	22	16	0	4	67	8	51	
Other employees	7	8	31	18	6	5	60	5	619	
Selv-employed in agriculture, forestry and fishing	-	6	20	16		-	57	12	85	
Other self-employed	5 5	8	29 19	16 13	4 7	1 6	69	5	83	
Students	10	11	49	36	13	9	33	7	112	
Pensioners	4	4	20	30 11	13 4	3	67	9	257	
Housewives, others at home	3	4	20 17	7	1	2	73	7	470	
Other	9	11	22	20	11	4	52	13	46	
Unknown	ó	0	16	14	5	5	76	8	37	
Type of area										
Sparsely populated	-	_	01	12		1	66	9	622	
Densely populated, less than	5	5	21	13	4	1	66		UZZ	
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	4	5	26	14	4	4	64	6	792	
inhabitants or more	8	8	27	15	5	5	62	5	691	
General attitude to development assistance		•								
In favour of development										
assistance	6	7	28	16	5	5	62	6	1 528	
Against development assistance	4	2	19	10	2	1	71	6	408	
Do not know	2	1	9	7	ī	ī	70	18	169	

Table 19. Persons who have seen or read information material on development assistance, in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by whether they have seen or read information material from NORAD. Percentages

	Total	Have seen or read material from NORAD	Have not seen or read material from NORAD	Do not remember, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	29	20	51	618
Sex					
Men	100	33	19	48	324
Women	100	24	22	54	294
Age					
16 - 19 years	100	16	16	68	75
20 - 24 "	100	36	18	46	75 59
25 - 44 "	100	36	17	47	209
45 - 64 "	100	25	24	51	222
65 - 74 "	100	26	21	53	53
05 - 74	100	20	21	23	,,,
Education					
Primary school level	100	22	23	55	292
Secondary school level	100	26	18	56	178
College and university level	100	48	15	37	130
Unknown	100	28	33	39	18
Occupation					
Employees in manufacturing					
and construction	100	33	21	46	92
Employees in agriculture,					-
forestry and fishing	;	:	:	:	13
Other employees	100	32	19	49	218
Selv-employed in agriculture,		5-			
forestry and fishing	100	30	18	52	27
Other self-employed	100	37	36	27	22
Students			10	57	67
Pensioners	100	33			
	100	17	30	53	64
Housewives, others at home	100	22	18	60	93
Other	100 :	31 :	25 :	44 :	16 6
Type of area					
Sparsely populated	100	30	21	49	155
Densely populated, less than	100	30	21	47	133
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					000
50 000 inhabitants	100	22	22	56	238
Densely populated, 50 000					225
inhabitants or more	100	36	17	47	225
General attitude to development assistance					
In favour of development					
assistance	100	30	19	51	505
Against development assistance	100	24	26	50	94
Do not know	100	21	16	63	19

Table 20. Persons who are members of labour unions or occupational associations, in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by whether the labour union or occupational association has treated development assistance or developing countries in any of its meetings. Percentages

ALL PERSONS 100 17 57 26 Sex Men 100 18 59 23	Number of respondent
Sex Men 100 18 59 23 Women 100 13 53 34 Age	
Men 100 18 59 23 Women 100 13 53 34 Age 16 - 19 years :	699
Women 100 13 53 34 Age 16 - 19 years : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
Women 100 13 53 34 Age 16 - 19 years :	495
16 - 19 years : <	204
20 - 24 "	
20 - 24 "	11
25 - 44 "	36
45 - 64 "	257
Education Primary school level 100 17 55 28 Secondary school level 100 14 62 24 College and university level. 100 19 55 26 Unknown : : : : : Occupation Employees in manufacturing Image: Control of the co	325
Education Primary school level	70
Secondary school level 100	
Secondary school level 100 14 62 24 College and university level. 100 19 55 26 Unknown : : : : : Occupation Employees in manufacturing Image: Control of the co	369
College and university level. 100 19 55 26 Unknown : : : : : Occupation Employees in manufacturing Image: Control of the control of	183
Unknown : ; ; ; ; Occupation Employees in manufacturing	132
Employees in manufacturing	15
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
• • •	
	211
Employees in agriculture,	211
forestry and fishing 100 6 76 18	17
	292
Other employees	232
	40
Chulanta	26
	9
	51
Oaham	27
Unknown	12 14
Type of area	
Sparsely populated 100 10 66 24	166
Densely populated, less than	
50 000 inhabitants 100 18 59 23 Densely populated, 50 000	291
inhabitants or more 100 20 48 32	242
General attitude to development assistance	
In favour of development	
assistance 100 18 56 26	526
Against development assistance 100 10 67 23	135
Do not know 100 18 37 45	38
100 10 3/	30

Table 21. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by participation in courses or lectures on development assistance or developing countries. Percentages

	Total	Have attended courses or lectures on development assistance	Have not attended courses or lectures on development assistance	Unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	2	97	1	2 105
Sex					
Men	100	2	97	1	997
Women	100	2	97	1	1 108
Age					
16 - 19 years	100	5	94	1	138
20 - 24 "	100	3	96	1	158
25 - 44 "	100	3	96	1	708
45 - 64 "	100	1	98	1	815
65 - 74 "	100	1	99	0	286
Education					
Primary school level	100	1	98	1	1 258
Secondary school level	100	2	97	1	520
College and university level	100	6	93	1	261
Unknown	100	4	96	0	66
Occupation					
Employees in manufacturing					
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	1	98	1	345
forestry and fishing	100	0	100	0	51
Other employees	100	2	97	1	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,					*
forestry and fishing	100	2	98	0	85
Other self-employed	100	1	95	4	83
Students	100	9	90	1	112
Pensioners	100	1	99	0	257
Housewives, others at home	100	1	99	0	470
Other	100 100	7 3	93 95	0 2	46 37
Type of area					
Sparsely populated	100	•	00	0	' (00
Densely populated, less than	100	2	98	0	622
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	2	97	1	792
inhabitants or more	100	2	97	1	691
General attitude to					
development assistance					
In favour of development					
assistance	100	2	97	1	1 528
Against development assistance	100	1	98	1	408
Do not know	100	0	98	2	169

Table 22. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through radio on their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

	Total		information has been	Has not given	Do not know,	Number of
		signifi- cant	insigni- ficant	information	unknown	respondent
ALL PERSONS	100	27	50	19	4	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	29	51	16	4	997
Women	100	25	50	21	4	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	19	53	22	6	138
20 - 24 "	100	26	46	25	3	158
25 - 44 "	100	23	54	20	3	708
45 - 64 "	100	31	48	17	4	815
65 - 74 "	100	32	48	14	6	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	26	49	21	4	1 258
Secondary school level	100	28	52	17	3	520
College and university level	100	31	55	11	3.	261
Unknown	100	27	49	23	1	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction	100	29	48	19	4	345
Employees in agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	100	31	53	16	0	51
Other employees	100	26	51	20	3	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	100	31	58	8	3	85
Other self-employed	100	34	39	24	3	83
Students	100	20	58	18	4	112
Pensioners	100	33	50	10	7	257
Housewives, others at home	100	22	51	24	.3	470
Other	100	35	50	11	4	46
Unknown	100	35	38	22	5	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	20	51	16	3	622
Densely populated, less than	100	30	21	10	J	022
50 000 inhabitants	100	25	51	20	4	792
Densely populated, 50 000 inhabitants or more	100	27	50	19	4 •	691
General attitude to	100	-,	50		•	
development assistance						
In favour of development					_	
assistance	100	29	52	16.	3	1 528
Against development assistance Do not know	100	24	46	26	4	408
	100	19	46	23	12	169

Table 23. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through television on their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

	Total		which	information has been	Has not given	Do not know,	Number of
		sig can	nifi- t	insigni- ficant	information	unknown	respondent
·					_		
ALL PERSONS	100		70	22	6	2	2 105
Sex							
Men	100		72	22	4	2	997
Women	100		68	23	7	2	1 108
Age							
16 - 19 years	100		69	25	3	3	138
20 - 24 "	100		73	19	8	0	158
25 - 44 "	100		75	21	3	1	708
45 - 64 "	100		70	23	5	2	815
65 - 74 "	100		57	26	14	3	286
Education							
Primary school level	100		67	24	7	2	1 258
Secondary school level	100		75	20	4	1	520
College and university level	100		74	22	3	1 -	261
Unknown	100		76	17	7	0	66
Occupation							
Employees in manufacturing						•	0.15
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100		75	19	4	2	345
forestry and fishing	100		78	16	4	2	51
Other employees	100		73	22	- 4	1	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,							
forestry and fishing	100		56	28	11	5	85
Other self-employed	100		71	25	3	1	83
Students	100		71	21	7	1	112
Pensioners	100		63	22	11	4	257
Housewives, others at home	100		67	27	5	1	470
Other	100		70	17	9	4	46
Unknown	100		73	24	3	0	37
Type of area							
Sparsely populated	100		64	25	9	2	622
Densely populated, less than							
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100		71	22	5	2	792
inhabitants or more	100		73	22	3	2.	691
General attitude to development assistance							
In favour of development							
assistance	100		76	18	5	1	1 528
Against development assistance	100		58	35	4	3	408
Do not know	100		49	36	10	5	169
	200			30		-	

Table 24. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through newspapers on their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

	Total		information has been	Have not given	Do not know,	Number of respondents
		signifi- cant	insigni- ficant	information	unknown	Teapondenca
ALL DEDCONG	100	E2	27	0	2	2 105
ALL PERSONS	100	53	37	8	2	2 103
Sex						
Men	100	59	34	6	1	997
Women	100	48	41	9	2	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	43	48	4	5	138
20 - 24 "	100	52	38	9	1	158
25 - 44 "	100	56	35	8	1	708
45 - 64 "	100	54	37	7	2	815
65 - 74 "	100	46	41	10	3	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	47	42	9	2	1 258
Secondary school level	100	55	37	7	1	520
College and university level	100	76	-21	2	1	261
Unknown	100	56	35	9	0	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction	100	59	34	5	2	345
Employees in agriculture,		•	• •	- ,		
forestry and fishing	100	59	27	10	4	51
Other employees	100 -	58	35	6	1	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,						•
forestry and fishing	100	43	46	9	2	85
Other self-employed	100	66	23	10	1	83
Students	100	56	37	4	3	112
Pensioners	100	44	44	9	3	257
Housewives, others at home	100	44	43	11	2	470
Other	100	59	33	6	2	46
Unknown	100	49	48	3	0	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	44	43	11	2	622
Densely populated, less than 50 000 inhabitants	100	52	38	8	2	· 792
Densely populated, 50 000						
inhabitants or more	100	61	33	5	1	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	57	36	6	1	1 528
Against development assistance	100	46	40	12	2	408
Do not know	100	34	46	12	8	169

Table 25. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through periodicals and magazines on their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

•	Total	Ŧ	information has been	Have not given	Do not know,	Number of
		signifi- cant	insigni- ficant	information	unknown	respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	13	39	44	4	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	13	42	41	4	997
Women	100	14	36	46	4	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	15	46	31	8	138
20 - 24 "	100	18	41	38	3	158
25 - 44 "	100	14	43	40	3	708
45 - 64 "	100	13	37	46	4	815
65 - 74 "	100	9	29	56	6	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	12	36	47	5	1 258
Secondary school level	100	13	41	43	3	520
College and university level	100	19	46	30	5	261
Unknown	100	18	43	36	3	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						,
and construction	100	14	42	41	3	345
Employees in agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	100	14	43	39	4	51
Other employees	100 -	15	42	40	3	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,						•
forestry and fishing	100	8	48	41	3	85
Other self-employed	100	15	37	46	2	. 83
Students	100	16	48	31	5	112
Pensioners	100	10	30	52	8	257
Housewives, others at home	100	13	33	50	4	470
Other	100	17	44	35	4	46
Unknown	100	14	30	51	5	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated Densely populated, less than	100	11	40	45	4	622
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	14	36	46	4	· 792
inhabitants or more	100	14	41	41	4	691
General attitude to						
development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	15	41	41	3	1 528
Against development assistance	100	8	36	51	5	408
Do not know	100	11	25	53	1	169
			•			

Table 26. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through books and pamphlets on their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

	Total		information has been	Have not given	Do not know,	Number of
		signifi- cant	insigni- ficant	information	unknown	respondent
ALL PERSONS	100	13	34	49	4	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	13	37	47	3	997
Women	100	13	31	52	4	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	28	39	29	4	138
20 - 24 "	100	16	36	45	3	158
25 - 44 "	100	13	36	48	3	708
45 - 64 "	100	12	33	52	3	815
65 - 74 "	100	6	29	58	7	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	9	32	55	4	1 258
Secondary school level	100	14	38	46	2	520
College and university level	100	26	38	33	3	261
Unknown	100	12	33	52	3	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction	100	11	35	51	3	345
Employees in agriculture,	200		33		•	
forestry and fishing	100	4	41	49	6	51
Other employees	100	16	34	- 46	4	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	10	34	40	7	017
forestry and fishing	100	9	50	39	2	85
Other self-employed					1	83
Students	100	10	32	57		
Pensioners	100	32	41	25 50	2	112
	100	7	33	52	8	257
Housewives, others at home	100	9	29	58	4	470
Other	100	11	39	46	4 5	46
	100	20	27	48	3	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	10	35	51	4	622
Densely populated, less than						
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	13	35	48	4	792
inhabitants or more	100	15	32	49	4 .	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development assistance	100	16	25	47	3	1 528
Against development assistance	100	15	35 32	47		
Do not know	100	7	32	57 50	4	408
DO HOF WHOM	100	3	27	59	11	169

Table 27. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through films on their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

	Total	which h	information as been	Have not given	Do not know,	Number of respondents
		signifi- cant	insigni- ficant	information	unknown	
ALL PERSONS	100	10	20	65	5	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	9	23	63	5	997
Women	100	10	18	67	5	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	20	38	36	6	138
20 - 24 "	100	15	24	57	4	158
25 - 44 "	100	8	20	68	4	708
45 - 64 "	100	8	18	69	5	815
65 - 74 "	100	9	17	66	8	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	8	18	69	5	1 258
Secondary school level	100	11	21	64	4	520
College and university level	100	13	30	51	6	261
Unknown	100	9	21	65	5	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction	100	9	22	64	5	345
Employees in agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	100	10	16	70	4	51
Other employees	100	10	21	- 64	5	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,					_	
forestry and fishing	100	9	24	62	5	85
Other self-employed	100	10	20	69	i	83
Students	100	20	38	37	5	112
Pensioners	100	9	19	64	8	257
Housewives, others at home	100	7	15	74	4	470
Other	100		35	48	4	46
Unknown	100	13 14	8	73	5	37
Type of area				•		
Sparsely populated	100	^	20	67	4	622
Densely populated, less than	100	9	20	U /	4	022
					•	700
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	11	19	65	5	792
inhabitants or more	100	9	22	63	6.	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	11	21	63	5	1 528
Against development assistance	100	6	19	71	4	408
Do not know						

Table 28. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through own visits to developing countries on their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

	Total	which	information has been	Have not given	Do not know,	Number of respondents
		signifi- cant	insigni- ficant	information	unknown	respondent
ALL PERSONS	100	4	4	88	4	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	6	5	85	4	997
Women	100	2	3	91	4	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	1	3	90	6	138
20 - 24 "	100	2	2	94	2	158
25 - 44 "	100	6	5	85	4	708
45 - 64 "	100	4	3	90	3	815
65 - 74 "	100	1	3	89	7	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	3 ·	3	90	4	1 258
Secondary school level	100	4	4	89	3	520
College and university level	100	8	7	79	6	261
Unknown	100	6	3	86	5	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction	100	8	5	84	3	345
Employees in agriculture,	100	·	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
forestry and fishing	100	0	8	88	4	51
Other employees	100 -	4	4	87	5	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	7	7	07	,	,015
forestry and fishing	100	5	4	89	2	85
Other self-employed	100	7	4	87	2	83
Students					4	112
Pensioners	100	4	3	89	6	
Housewives, others at home	100	1	2	91		257 470
Other	100	2	3	9.2	3	
Unknown	100	2	11	80	7	46
	100	0	0	87	13	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated	100	3	4	90	3	622
Densely populated, less than						
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	4	3	89	4	' 792
inhabitants or more	100	5	4	85	6	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	4	3	89	4	1 528
Against development assistance	100	6	6	83	5	408
Do not know		•	2	91	6	169

Table 29. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through family, friends and colleagues on their attitude to development assistance. Percentages

2 2 2 2 4 1 2	2 105 997 1 108
2 2 4 1	997
2 2 4 1	997
2 4 1	
2 4 1	
4 1	1 100
1	1 100
1	
1	138
	158
7	708
2	815
5	286
3	200
3	1 258
1	520
1	261
1	66
2	345
2	51
2	619
_	,023
1	85
2	83
	The state of the s
3	112
5	257
2	470
2	46
2	37
2	622
3	[,] 792
•	
2	691
2	1 528
	408
<u> </u>	169
4	
	3

Table 30. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance, by evaluation of the significance of information through political party or association of which they are members, on their attitude to development assistance.

Percentages

	Total		information has been	Have not given	Do not know,	Number of
		signifi- cant	insigni- ficant	information	unknown	respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	4	10	82	4	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	5	13	78	4	997
Women	100	3	6	86	5	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	4	5	83	8	138
20 - 24 "	100	5		85	3	158
20 - 24	100	3	11	82	4	708
45 - 44						
45 04	100	5	10	82	3	815
65 - 74 "	100	5	9	79	7	286
Education						
Primary school level	100	3	8	84	5	1 258
Secondary school level	100	4	9	83	4.	520
College and university level	100	8	16	70	6	261
Unknown	100	8	6	86	0	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing					•	0/5
and construction	100	4	14	79	3	345
Employees in agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	100	0	12	· 86	2	51
Other employees	100	5	10	80	5	619
Selv-employed in agriculture,						
forestry and fishing	100	1	17	80	2	85
Other self-employed	100	8	5	82	5	83
Students	100	9	6	80	5	112
Pensioners	100	4	9	80	7	257
Housewives, others at home	100	3	5	88	4	470
Other	100	2	9	83	6	46
Unknown	100	0	5	92	3	37
Type of area						
	100	•	•	0.6	•	
Sparsely populated	100	2	9	86	3	622
Densely populated, less than		•				
50 000 inhabitants	100	4	10	82	4	792
Densely populated, 50 000		_				
inhabitants or more	100	5	10	79	6	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	5	9	82	4	1 528
Against development assistance	100	2	12	81	5	408
Do not know	100	1	9	84	6	169
	100	•	,	54	•	207

Table 31. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/contact with information material on development assistance, by evaluation of the amount of information given on development assistance and developing countries.

Percentages

	Total	Too little information	About the right amount of information	Too much information	Do not know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	27	50	12	11	2 105
Sex						
Men	100	31	49	12	8	997
Women	100	24	51	12	13	1 108
Age						
16 - 19 years	100	39	44	9	8	138
20 - 24 "	100	27	57	8	8	158
45 44	100	30	51	11	8	708
45 - 64 "	100 100	24 22	52 43	12 18	12 17	815 286
03 /4	100	22	43	16	17	260
Education						
Primary school level	100	23	50	14	13	1 258
Secondary school level	100	30	53	10	7	520
College and university level	100	38	48	10	4	261
Unknown	100	33	48	8	11	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	30	49	14	7	345
forestry and fishing	100	18	45	21	16	51
Other employees Selv-employed in agriculture, forestry and fishing	100	29	52	10	9	619
Other self-employed	100 100	16 25	58 52	17 12	9 11	85 83
Students	100	46	40	8	6	112
Pensioners	100	25	45	15	15	257
Housewives, others at home	100	20	54	13	13	470
Other	100	31	52	4	13	46
Unknown	100	32	38	16	14	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated Densely populated, less than	100	20	54	14	12	622
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	30	48	12	10 .	792
inhabitants or more	100	30	49	11	10	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	31	54	7	8	1 528
Against development assistance	100	16	39	31	14	408
Do not know	100	19	41	11	29	169
Contact with information material on development assistance						
Have seen or read material	100	29	52	14	5	618
Have not seen or read material	100	26	50	12	12	1 348
Do not remember, unknown	100	22	47	9	22	139

Table 32. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/contact with information material on development assistance, by knowledge of the development project in the Indian constituent state Kerala. Percentages

•	m - 4 - 1	Right		.1	Wrong ans	wer		Do not	Number
	Total	answer. Fisheries	Total	Schoo1	Forestry project	Road con- struction	cultural		of respon
		project		project	project	project	project	known	dents
ALL PERSONS	100	47	24	7	1	1	15	29	2 105
Sex									
Men	100	55	23	7	1	1	14	22	997
Women	100	40	24	7	0	1	16	36	1 108
Age									
16 - 19 years	100	26	35	15	0	2	18	39	138
20 - 24	100	31	35	15	0	1	19	34	158
23 = 44	100	48	25	9	0	1	15 14	27	708 815
45 - 64 "	100 100	54 45	19 21	4 4	1 1	0 1	14 15	27 34	286
	100	43	21	4	1	1	13	34	200
Education									
Primary school level	100	40	25	7	1	0	17	35	1 258
Secondary school level	100	50	25	9	0	2	14	25	520
College and university level Unknown	100 100	76 52	12 13	3 1	0 0	0 0	9 12	12 35	261 66
	100	32	13	1	U	·	12	33	00
Occupation									
Employees in manufacturing									
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	50	23	7	0	1	15	27	345
forestry and fishing	100	37	39	12	2	0	25	24	51
Other employees Selv-employed in agriculture,	100 1		23	9	1	0	13	25	619
forestry and fishing	100	46	32	6	1	1	24	22	85
Other self-employed Students	100	51	20	7	1	1	11	29	83
Pensioners	100	40	28	9	0	3	16	32	112
Housewives, others at home	100	44	23	4	1 0	1	17 15	33 36	257 470
Other	100 100	42 42	22	6 9	0	1 0	9	39	470
Unknown	100	43 49	18 19	3	0	0	16	32	37
Type of area									
Sparsely populated	100	41	26	7	1	1	17	33	622
Densely populated, less than 50 000 inhabitants	100	45	26	3	1	1	16	29	792
Densely populated, 50 000	100								
inhabitants or more	100	54	19	٠ 6	0	0	13	27	691
General attitude to development assistance									
In favour of development									
assistance	100	49	25	7	0.	1	17	26	1 528
Against development assistance	100	43	24	8	i	ō	14	34	408
Do not know	100	34	16	7	ī	i	7	50	169
Contact with information material on development									
assistance									
Have seen or read material	100	50	28	8	1	1	18	22	618
Have not seen or read material	100	46	22	7	0	1	14	32	1 348
Do not remember, unknown	100	46	20	- 5	0	0	15	34	139

Table 33. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/contact with information material on development assistance, by knowledge of whether Norway supports family planning projects in developing countries. Percentages

	Total	Right answer	Wrong answer	Do not know,	Number of respondents
		Yes	No	unknown	
ALL PERSONS	100	72	4	24	2 105
Sex					
Men	100 100	73 70	4 4	23 26	997 1 108
Age					
16 - 19 years	100	63	9	28	138
20 - 24 "	100	77	3	20	158
25 - 44 "	100	80	4	16	708
45 - 64 "	100	69	3	28	815
65 - 74 "	100	60	4	36	286
Education					
Primary school level	100	66	5	29	1 258
Secondary school level	100	77	5	18	520
College and university level	100	88	2	10	261
Unknown	100	74	0	26	66
Occupation					
Employees in manufacturing					
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	72	5	23	345
forestry and fishing	100	69	4	27	51
Other employees Selv-employed in agriculture,	100	78	4	18	619
forestry and fishing	100	67	1	32	85
Other self-employed	100	73	4	23	83
Students	100	76	6	18	112
Pensioners	100	59	4	37	257
Housewives, others at home	100	69	5	26	470
Other	100	70	ő	30	46
Unknown	100	81	Ö	19	37
Type of area					
Sparsely populated Densely populated, less than	100	65	5	30	622
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	70	4	26	792
inhabitants or more	100	79	4	17	691
General attitude to					
development assistance					
In favour of development					
assistance	100	74	4	22	1 528
Against development assistance	100	71	4	25	408
Do not know	100	49	5	46	169
Contact with information material on development assistance					
	100	00		16	410
Have seen or read material	100	80	4	16 27	618
Have not seen or read material	100	69	4	27	1 348
Do not remember, unknown	100	63	4	33	139

Table 34. Persons in groups for sex/age/education/occupation/type of area/general attitude to development assistance/contact with information material on development assistance, by knowledge of whether Norwegian development assistance is given as gifts or as loans. Percentages

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T-4-1	Right answer	Wrong answ	er	Do not	Number of
	Tota1	Gifts only	Partly as gifts,partly as loans	Loans only	know, unknown	Number of respondents
ALL PERSONS	100	60	31	1	8	2 105
Sex						
Men	100 100	59 61	34 28	1 0	6 11	997 1 108
Age						
	100	60	20	2	10	138
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100	53	28 39	1	7	158
20 - 24 " 25 - 44 "	100	56	37	i	6	708
45 - 64 "	100	64	27	i	8	815
CE 7/ II	100	64	23	Ō	13	288
	100	04	23	Ū	13	200
Education Primary school level	100	62	27	1	10	1 258
	100	60	32	1	7	520
Secondary school level College and university level	100	51	32 46	1	.2	261
Unknown	100	59	29	3	9	66
Occupation						
Employees in manufacturing						
and construction Employees in agriculture,	100	64	29	0	7	345
forestry and fishing	100	63	29	0	8	51
Other employees	100	59	35	1	5	619
forestry and fishing	100	60	31	1	8	85
Other self-employed	100	51	41	2	6	83
Students	100	50	41	2	7	112
Pensioners	100	65	23	1	11	257
Housewives, others at home	100	61	26	1	12	470
Other	100	54	35	0	11	46
Unknown	100	60	24	3	13	37
Type of area						
Sparsely populated Densely populated, less than	100	64	26	1	9	622
50 000 inhabitants Densely populated, 50 000	100	59	30	1	10	792
inhabitants or more	100	59	35	0	6.	691
General attitude to development assistance						
In favour of development						
assistance	100	59	34	1	6.	1 528
Against development assistance	100	66	24	1	9.	408
Do not know	100	57	18	Ō	25	169
Contact with information material on development assistance						
Have seen or read material	100	57	38	0	5	618
Have not seen or read material	100	63	27	1	9	1 348
Do not remember, unknown	100	54	31	Ō	15	139
		<u>.</u>		-		

SURVEY ON NORWEGIAN DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

Nan	ne:	
. م	lress:	Date of birth Id.no. number 1)
		25
1.	As you may know Norway gives various forms of assistance to the developing countries, i.e. countries in Asia, Africa, and South America. This aid is usually called development assistance. What is your opinion on this assistance: Are you in favour of or against Norway giving assistance to developing countries?	1 In favour 2 Against 3 Do not know
2.	For 1974, the Norwegian Parliament appropriated 690 million kroner for development assistance. This constitutes slightly more than 2 per cent of the national budget, which in total amounts to 29 billion kroner. In comparison, the defense budget constitutes 13 per cent, and the appropriations for universities slightly more than 3 per cent. Do you think the amount that goes to development assistance should have been greater, do you think it is about right, do you think it should have been smaller, or do you think it should have been totally omitted?	Should have been quest.3 About right —> quest.3 Should have been smaller —> quest.3 Should have been totally omitted —> quest.4 Do not know, no opinion —> quest.3
3.	The Parliament has decided that development assistance shall be increased in the years to come, so that in 1978 it probably will amount to approximately 1 750 million kroner. This will bring the appropriations up to the level recommended by the UN. After the Parliament treated these plans, there have been discovered oil in the North Sea that probably will give the Government new great income. What do you think we ought to do in the years to come, should we use some of the oil income to give more development assistance than planned for, follow the original plans for increase, not increase assistance beyond the current level, or reduce or totally omit the assistance?	27 1 Give more aid than planned 2 Follow the original plans for increase 3 Keep assistance on today's level 4 Reduce or omit the assistance 9 Do not know, no opinion
4.	Here I have a card listing various assertions that have been put forward in discussions on development assistance. Would you, for each assertion, state whether you mostly agree, whether you neither agree nor disagree, or whether you mostly disagree CARD A 1) Development assistance is an important part of the efforts to prevent wars and enmity in the world. 2) There are many tasks that have to be solved in our own country before we can start giving assistance to other countries are suffering, it is our duty to help them as well as we can. 4) The development assistance ends up in the pockets of the rich and does never reach those who really need it. 5) Our own future is dependent on all countries' ability to solve the population problems, protect environment and resources, and give everybody a decent standard of living. To obtain this, Norway and other rich countries will have to decrease the growth in their own consumption, and simultaneously increase development assistance. 6) Development assistance teaches people in the developing countries to rely on others instead of themselves.	Neither Do not agree nor Mostly know, disagree disagree no answer 2 3 9 28 29 30 31 31 32 33

	7) A greater share of the appropriations for development assistance should be handed over to missionairies, The Emergency Assistance of the Church and similar organizations. They work more efficiently than the Government and feel more dedicated to responsibility for their fellow being	Mostly agree 1	agree nor Mostly know, disagree disagree no answer 2 3 9
	8) Even though there are many disappointments and repercussion, the development assistance on the whole does promo progress in the developing countries.9) Development assistance often is a pretext used by the	te	35
	rich countries to intervene in the economy of the developing countries and to get control over their natural resources.		36
5.	NOT TO BE POSED TO I.P. WHO HAS ANSWERED "DO NOT KNOW" TO QUESTION 1.	37	
	If you were to use one of these assertions to explain why you are in favour of (against) Norway giving assistance to the developing countries, which one would you select?	0 38	Number of assertion selected by IP Do not know
6.	Norway has, up to now, concentrated its assistance to a few developing countries. What do you think is most important when deciding which countries we want to help? Should we primarily help where there is the greatest poverty, or should we primarily consider whether the policy of the developing country creates a development from which all parts of the population will benefit?	1 2 3 9 1	Help where there is the greatest poverty Consider the policy of the country Consider both Do not know, no opinion
7.	Do you think we should spend more of the appropriations for development assistance on helping people struck by famine or natural catastrophes, or do you think Norway should attach most importance to long-term development projects, like building up industry, agriculture and fisheries?	39 1 2 3	More of the appropriations to those who are struck by famine and natural catastrophes Norway should attach most importance to long-term projects Both of equal importance Do not know, no opinion
8.	In some countries which are still colonies or which are ruled by a white minority, there are armed liberation movements. Norway gives development assistance consisting of food, medicines, clothes and the like to some of these movements. Most countries, however, do not give such assistance. What do you think of this question? Do you think Norway should give development assistance to such liberation movements or do you think we should not?	1 40 2 3 9 0	Yes, Norway should give development assistance to liberation movements No, Norway should not give development assistance to liberation movements It depends on which liberation movements are in question Do not know, no opinion
9.	The Norwegian Agency for International Development (NORAD), the UN-communication section and a number of voluntary organizations regularly publish information material on development assistance and developing countries. It consists of magazines, books, pamphlets, films, filmstrips and exhibitions. Have you seen or read any of this material?	41 1 2 9	Yes \longrightarrow quest.10 No \longrightarrow quest.12 Do not remember \longrightarrow quest.12
10.	What kind of material have you seen or read?	Have see	. geen
	REMEMBER TO CHECK OFF ONE ANSWER FOR EACH KIND OF MATERIAL.	or read 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	or read The magazine Norkontakt Books Pamphlets Films Filmstrips Exhibitions
11.	Does any of this material date from the Agency (NORAD)?	1	Yes
	CHECK OFF WITHOUT ASKING THE QUESTION IF I.P. HAS READ NORKONTAKT, WHICH IS ISSUED BY NORAD.	2 9	No Do not remember

12.	The Norwegian Agency for International Developm UN-communication section and a number of volunt organizations also give courses and lectures on development assistance and developing countries Have you ever participated in such courses o	cary	1 Yes 2 No		
13.	Are you a member of any labour union or occupat association?	ional	1 Yes 2 No	→ 14 → 15	
14.	Has the labour union or the occupational associ treated development assistance or developing co in their meetings?		1 Yes 2 No 9 Do 1	not know	
15.	SHOW CARD B				
	Here I have a card listing several sources that and development problems. For each source, please state whether or not assistance and development problems, and if the ance for your opinion on development assistance	it has given	you informatio	on on development	
	CARD B	Has given i		Has not	
		which	was Insigni-	given	Do not
		Signifi- cant	ficant	information	know
		· 1	2	3	9
	Radio			,	52
	Television				53
	Newspapers				54
	Periodicals, magazines				
	Books/ pamphlets				55
	Films	H	<u> </u>		56
	•				57
	Personal visits in developing countries				58
	Family, friends and colleagues		H	\vdash	59
	Political party or union/association of which you are a member		61		60
16.	On the whole, what would you say about the amount information on developing countries and developing problems: Do you think the amount of information is too small, about right or do you think the amount is	ment o	2 Amou	ent is too small ont is about right ont is too great	:
17.	We would also like to pose you a few question do Norwegian assistance to the developing countries are able to answer them all correctly. Even if you are not quite sure whether or not you know to be the correct answer. The first Norwegian development project was start State of Kerala in India. Was this: A school project project, road construction project, first project or an agricultural project?	s. The quest some of the the answer, w rted in the project,	that you have he ions are such to questions are one would like to	hat only a few of f such a nature t	us hat
	project of an agricultural project:		4 Fish	construction pro eries project cultural project ot know	oject

	SHOW CARD C	CARD C Yes No
18.	The Norwegian Government development assistance mainly goes to seven of the developing countries listed on this card. Can you pick up any of these countries? UP TO SEVEN COUNTRIES MAY BE CHECKED OFF. YOU MAY EMPHASIZE THAT IT IS QUITE ALL RIGHT TO INCLUDE COUNTRIES OF WHICH ONE DOES NOT FEEL SURE.	Senegal
19.	Does Norway give assistance to family-planning projects in developing countries?	14 (Card number 2) 1 Yes 2 No 9 Do not know
20.	Government development assistance can be given both as gifts and as loans. What do you think of the Norwegian assistance, is it given as gifts only, partly as gifts and partly as loans or as loans only?	As gifts only Partly as gifts, partly as loans As loans only Do not know
	CHOLL CARD D	Yes No
21.	SHOW CARD D The Norwegian Peace Corps is at present serving in four of the developing countries listed on this card. Can you pick out any of these countries? UP TO FOUR COUNTRIES MAY BE CHECKED OFF.	Thailand 16
	At last I want to ask a question on income. Together with other information this will give the Central Bureau of Statistics the necessary background information for classification of the answers of this survey.	26
22.	What was the estimated income of your household (or your own) last year, was it under 20 000 kroner between 20 000 kroner and 30 000 kroner " 30 000 " and 40 000 " " 40 000 " and 50 000 " " 50 000 " and 60 000 " " 60 000 " and 80 000 " or was it 80 000 kroner or more? ESTIMATED INCOME IS THE NET INCOME IN THE DECLARATION.	Under 20 000 kroner 2

27

BACKGROUND CHARACTERISTICS

WHEN THE I.P. HAS BEEN ASKED ABOUT OCCUPATION AND EDUCATION IN THE LABOUR FORCE SAMPLE SURVEY, THE INFORMATION SHOULD BE TRANSFERRED WITHOUT ASKING ANY NEW QUESTIONS.

23. Highest completed general education?

1 7 years primary school or shorter 2 1 year continuation school 3 2 years continuation school 9 years primary school (possibly a voluntary 4 10th year) Folk high school (primary schools, higher 5 stage or county schools) - 1 year secondary school, lower stage 6 Secondary school, lower stage 7 Folk high schools, 2 years 8 Secondary schools, higher stage Unknown or no education

24. Duration of vocational education.

THE DURATION OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION MEANS THE TIME SPENT IN SCHOOL. APPRENTICESHIP IS NOT INCLUDED.

STUDIES AT UNIVERSITIES, HIGH SCHOOL ETC. ARE REGARDED AS VOCATIONAL EDUCATION.

25. Activity / economic activity

28 No vocational education of at least 0 5 months' duration Vocational education with duration 1 5 months - 1 year 2 1 1/4 - 2 years 2 1/4 - 3 " 3 3 1/4 - 4 4 5 4 1/4 years or more 29 Employee in industry, building and 0 construction Employee in agriculture, forestry and 1 fishing

Employee in other work

Self-employed in agriculture, forestry and fishing

Self-employed with other work

Pupil, student

Pensioner, recipient

Housewife, domestic work

Other work

For employed and independents:

26. Occupational code for main occupation

30 31

2

CODED AS IN THE LABOUR FORCE SAMPLE SURVEY